Day 31 - Euler-Lagrange Equation

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Welcome to PHY 321: Classical Mechanics



Prof. Danny Caballero (he/they; course instructor) Scarlett Rebolledo Caceres (she/her; GTA) Elisha Alemao (she/her; ULA)

Seminars this Week

WEDNESDAY, April 2, 2025

- Astronomy Seminar, 1:30 pm, 1400 BPS, Andy Tzandikas, Univ. of Washington, Title: Searching for the Rarest Stellar Occultations
- **PER Seminar**, 3:00 pm., BPS 1400, *Abigail Daane, Professor of Physics, South Seattle College*, Title: The obstacles, stumbles, and growth in examining the "decolonization" of physics education

THURSDAY, April 3, 2025

 Colloquium, 3:30 pm, 1415 BPS, Alex Sushkov, Boston University, Title: Nuclear magnetic resonance at the quantum sensitivity limit

Reminders

We proposed a solution to the line problem that involved an error term $\eta(x)$, which is a small perturbation to the true path y(x). This leads to a perturbed function:

$$Y(x) = y(x) + lpha \eta(x)$$

where α is a small parameter.

We proposed that there's a functional f(Y, Y', x) that depends on a function Y(x), its derivative Y'(x), and the independent variable x such that:

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} f(Y,Y',x)\,dx > \int_{s_1}^{s_2} f(y,y',x)\,dx$$

Reminders

By taking the derivative of the functional with respect to α , we can find the condition for which the functional is stationary (i.e., a minimum or maximum).

$$rac{d}{dlpha} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} f(Y,Y',x) \, dx \Big|_{lpha=0} = 0$$

This (with a lot of math) led us to the following expression:

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \eta(x) \left[rac{\partial f}{\partial y} - rac{d}{dx} \left(rac{\partial f}{\partial y'}
ight)
ight] dx = 0$$

We completed this derivation with the following mathematical statement:

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \eta(x) \left[rac{\partial f}{\partial y} - rac{d}{dx} igg(rac{\partial f}{\partial y'} igg)
ight] dx = 0$$

where $\eta(x)$ is an arbitrary function. What does this imply about the term in square brackets?

- 1. The term in square brackets must be a pure function of x.
- 2. The term in square brackets must be a pure function of y.
- 3. The term in square brackets must be a pure function of y'.
- 4. The term in square brackets must be zero.
- 5. The term in square brackets must be a non-zero constant.

Returning to the line problem,

$$l=\int_{x_1}^{x_2}\sqrt{1+\left(rac{dy}{dx}
ight)^2}\,dx$$
here, $f(y,y',x)=\sqrt{1+(y')^2}$, where $y'=rac{dy}{dx}.$

Apply the Euler-Lagrange equation to find the expression for the function f(y, y', x) in this case. Write your result to find the expression for the term in square brackets:

$$\frac{d}{dx}[?] = 0$$

Click when you have an answer!

With,



where c is a constant, the solution expresses a straight line.

1. True and I can prove it!

2. True, but I'm not sure how to prove it.

- 3. False, I think this is incorrect.
- 4. I don't know.

We derived the time that it takes to run from a point on the shore to a point in the water, T:

$$T=rac{1}{v_1}ig(x_1^2+(y-y_1)^2ig)^{1/2}+rac{1}{v_2}ig(x_2^2+(y_2-y)^2ig)^{1/2}$$

To find the minimal time, what derivative should we take?



4. Something else?

For the brachistochrone problem, the ball moves purely under the influence of gravity. Consider that the ball has moved a vertical distance Δy from rest. What is the speed of the ball at this point?

- 1. v = gt
- 2. $v = 2g\Delta y$
- 3. $v=\sqrt{2g\Delta y}$
- 4. I'm not sure, but $<\sqrt{2g\Delta y}$
- 5. Something else?